

December, 2012

Non-Abelian Gauge Groups and Hypermultiplets in Projective Superspaces

Masato Arai^{†1} and Shin Sasaki^{‡2}

[†]*Fukushima National College of Technology
Iwaki, Fukushima 970-8034, Japan*

[‡]*Department of Physics
Kitasato University
Sagamihara, 252-0373, Japan*

Abstract

We construct off-shell superconformal actions of hypermultiplets coupled with non-Abelian gauge multiplets in three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 3$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ projective superspaces. We establish the explicit embeddings of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector and adjoint chiral superfields, that constitute the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge multiplets, into the tropical multiplets. We also construct the action in the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ projective superspace.

¹masato.arai(at)fukushima-nct.ac.jp

²shin-s(at)kitasato-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

Gauge theories with extended supersymmetries have been studied in various contexts. Among them, superspace formalism of gauge theories is quite useful since supersymmetries of an action is manifestly guaranteed. However the standard superfield formalism is not suitable for theories with extended supersymmetries. The projective superspace formalism is one of the useful framework to treat the extended supersymmetries. The four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ projective superspace was established in [1, 2, 3]. The projective superspace formalisms were studied in two [4], three [5], five and six dimensions [6, 7].

In four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ projective superspace, a non-Abelian gauge multiplet is introduced as a tropical multiplet. The kinetic term of the gauge multiplet is defined through the anti-commutation relations of the gauge covariantized supercovariant derivatives [8]. The formal treatments of interactions among hypermultiplets and non-Abelian gauge fields are analyzed in [9]. On the other hand, an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge multiplet consists of a $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector and an adjoint chiral superfields. Consequently, the component superfields in the tropical multiplet should be represented by the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector and the adjoint chiral superfields. However, the explicit embeddings of the tropical multiplet into the gauge multiplet remains less understood. In the previous paper, we have constructed superconformal Abelian Chern-Simons matter action in the three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 3$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ projective superspaces [10]. We have shown the explicit relations among components in the tropical multiplet and the superfields in the Abelian gauge multiplet. For non-Abelian gauge groups, the relation between the tropical and gauge multiplets becomes highly non-linear and non-trivial.

The purpose of this paper is to find explicit relations among component superfields in the tropical multiplet and superfields in the non-Abelian gauge multiplet in three and four dimensions. We will write down the gauge connections in terms of the tropical multiplet. The vector and chiral superfields in the non-Abelian gauge multiplet are explicitly expressed by the components of the tropical multiplet. We will then show that the adjoint chiral superfields obtained from the tropical multiplet have correct chiral properties. We also write down actions of hypermultiplets in three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 3$, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspaces in terms of the component superfields in the gauge multiplet. In three-dimensions, the action have superconformal invariance.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In the next section, we give a brief review of the three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 3$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ projective superspace formulations of superconformal theories. We then introduce the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ formalism. In Section 3, we construct the action of hypermultiplets coupled with non-Abelian gauge multiplets. We explicitly write down the gauge connection in terms of the tropical multiplet. We find perturbative expressions of the adjoint scalar fields in the vector multiplets and show its chiralities. We then show that the actions constructed in the projective superspaces precisely reproduce those in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ subsuperspace in three dimensions. We also construct actions in four dimensions. Section 4 is conclusion and discussions. Notations and conventions of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2, 3, 4$ and four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2$ superspaces are given in Appendix A. Explicit expressions for the decomposition of the tropical multiplet is found in Appendix B. The anti-commutation relations among the gauge covariantized supercovariant derivatives are found in Appendix C.

2 Projective superspaces

In this section, we give a brief overview of the projective superspace formalism. We first explain the basic facts about the three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 3$ projective superspace formalism. We introduce the general formula of actions for $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superconformal theories. Then we generalize the formalism to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories. We also introduce the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ projective superspace formalism.

2.1 $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superconformal theories in three-dimensional projective superspace

The $\mathcal{N} = 3$ projective superspace are defined by the standard $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superspace $\mathbb{M}^{3|6}$ supplemented with the internal space $\mathbb{CP}^1$. The coordinates in each space are $z^M = (x^m, \theta_I^\alpha)$ and $SU(2)_R$ complex isospinors v^i, u^i . Here $\alpha = 1, 2$ is the $SO(1, 2) \sim SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ Lorentz spinor and $I = 1, 2, 3$ is the $SO(3)_R \sim SU(2)_R$ R-symmetry vector index respectively. The two complex isospinors satisfy the following completeness relation,

$$\delta^i_j = \frac{1}{(v, u)}(v^i u_j - v_j u^i), \quad (v, u) \equiv v^i u_i, \quad (2.1)$$

where u_i should obey the condition $(v, u) \neq 0$. In the following, we use the $SU(2)_R$ spinor indices $i, j = 1, 2$ rather than the $SO(3)_R$ vector indices. These are intertwined by the relation $\theta_{ij}^\alpha = (\tau_I)_{ij} \theta_I^\alpha$ where $(\tau_I)^i_j$ are the Pauli matrices. The $SU(2)_R$ indices are raised and lowered by the anti-symmetric symbols $\varepsilon^{ij}, \varepsilon_{ij}$ such as $\theta^i = \varepsilon^{ij} \theta_j$.

Using the isospinors v^i, u^i , we define the following supercovariant derivatives in the projective superspace,

$$D_\alpha^{(2)} = v_i v_j D_\alpha^{ij}, \quad D_\alpha^{(0)} = \frac{1}{(v, u)} v_i u_j D_\alpha^{ij}, \quad D_\alpha^{(-2)} = \frac{1}{(v, u)^2} u_i u_j D_\alpha^{ij}. \quad (2.2)$$

The covariant derivative $D_\alpha^{(2)}$ is used to define a superconformal projective multiplet. We define a projective superfield $Q^{(n)}$ by the following constraint:

$$D_\alpha^{(2)} Q^{(n)} = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

The superfield $Q^{(n)}$ should be holomorphic with respect to v^i and homogeneous function of degree n ,

$$Q^{(n)}(z, cv) = c^n Q^{(n)}(z, v), \quad c \in \mathbb{C}^*. \quad (2.4)$$

We call $Q^{(n)}$ superconformal projective multiplet with weight $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superconformal transformation of the superfield $Q^{(n)}$ is given by [5],

$$\delta Q^{(n)} = -(\xi - \Lambda^{(2)} \boldsymbol{\partial}^{(-2)}) Q^{(n)} - n \Sigma Q^{(n)}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\xi = \xi^m \partial_m + \xi_I^\alpha D_\alpha^I$ with $D_\alpha^{ij} = (\tau_I)^{ij} D_\alpha^I$ is the superconformal killing vector field. The symbol $\boldsymbol{\partial}^{(-2)} = \frac{1}{(v, u)} u^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}$ stands for the differentiation with respect to the isospinor v^i . The

parameters $\Lambda^{(2)} = v_i v_j \Lambda^{ij}$ and Σ correspond to the $SO(3)_R$ and the scale transformations respectively.

The “smile conjugation” which is consistent with the constraint (2.3) is defined by

$$\bar{Q}^{(n)}(v) \equiv (Q^{(n)}(v))^* \Big|_{(v^i)^* \rightarrow -v_i}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the symbol “*” stands for the ordinary complex conjugation. The replacement of v^i is explicitly given by $(v^1)^* \rightarrow -v_1 = v^2$ and $(v^2)^* \rightarrow -v_2 = -v^1$. When the weight n is even number, one can define a real projective multiplet by using the smile conjugation.

The $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superconformal invariant action is given by [5]

$$S = \frac{1}{8\pi} \oint_{\gamma} (v, dv) \int d^3x (D^{(-2)})^2 (D^{(0)})^2 \mathcal{L}^{(2)}(z, v) \Big|_{\theta=0}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ is an weight-2 real superconformal projective multiplet. For later convenience we call $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ Lagrangian. The line integral is evaluated over a closed contour γ in $\mathbb{CP}^1$. The action (2.7) is independent of u and we can choose a frame where $u_i = (1, 0)$.

The action (2.7) is rewritten in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace and superfields as follows. We first take the contour γ in (2.7) such that it does not pass through the north pole $v^i = (0, 1)$ in $\mathbb{CP}^1$. It is then useful to introduce a complex inhomogeneous coordinate $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ in the upper hemisphere of $\mathbb{CP}^1$,

$$v^i = v^1(1, \zeta), \quad \zeta \equiv \frac{v^2}{v^1}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.8)$$

Then the supercovariant derivative $D_{\alpha}^{(2)}$ turns into the following form

$$D_{\alpha}^{(2)} = (v^1)^2 D_{\alpha}^{[2]}, \quad D_{\alpha}^{[2]}(\zeta) \equiv -\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{\alpha} - 2\zeta D_{\alpha}^{12} + \zeta^2 \mathbb{D}_{\alpha}, \quad (2.9)$$

where we have introduced the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supercovariant derivatives $\mathbb{D}_{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{\alpha}$ (see Appendix A). By factoring out the v^1 dependence in $Q^{(n)}(z, v)$, a new superfield $Q^{[n]}(z, v) \propto Q^{(n)}(z, v)$ which satisfies the following constraint is defined:

$$D_{\alpha}^{[2]}(\zeta) Q^{[n]}(z, \zeta) = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

In general, $Q^{[n]}$ is expanded by power series in ζ ,

$$Q^{[n]}(z, \zeta) = \sum_k \zeta^k Q_k(z), \quad (2.11)$$

where $Q_k(z)$ are standard $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superfields subject to the constraints (2.10). By the factorization of v^1 , the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ is rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)}(z, v) = (v^1)^2 (i\zeta) \mathcal{L}^{[2]}(z, \zeta). \quad (2.12)$$

Then the action (2.7) reduces to the following form,

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta} \int d^3x d^4\theta \mathcal{L}^{[2]}(z, \zeta) \Big|_{\theta_{12}=0}, \quad (2.13)$$

where we have used (2.9) and the constraint (2.10).

We now show a few examples of the projective superfields $Q^{(n)}$.

• $\mathcal{O}(k)$ and (ant)arctic multiplets

The weight- n complex $\mathcal{O}(k)$ multiplet is defined to be holomorphic in the upper hemisphere in $\mathbb{CP}^1$,

$$\Upsilon^{(n)}(z, v) = (v^1)^n \Upsilon^{[n]}(z, \zeta), \quad \Upsilon^{[n]} = \sum_{l=0}^k \zeta^l \Upsilon_l(z). \quad (2.14)$$

The constraints (2.10) on the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ component superfields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha \Upsilon_0 &= 0, \\ \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha \Upsilon_1 + 2D_\alpha^{12} \Upsilon_0 &= 0, \\ \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha \Upsilon_l + 2D_\alpha^{12} \Upsilon_{l-1} - \mathbb{D}_\alpha \Upsilon_{l-2} &= 0, \quad (2 \leq l \leq k), \\ 2D_\alpha^{12} \Upsilon_k - \mathbb{D}_\alpha \Upsilon_{k-1} &= 0, \\ \mathbb{D}_\alpha \Upsilon_k &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The arctic multiplet is defined as the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ of the complex $\mathcal{O}(k)$ multiplet. The antarctic multiplet is defined by the smile conjugate of the arctic multiplet.

• $\mathcal{O}(-k, k)$ and tropical multiplets

The real $\mathcal{O}(-k, k)$ multiplet with weight n is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}^{(2n)}(z, v) &= (iv^1 v^2)^n \mathcal{V}^{[2n]}(z, \zeta) = (v^1)^{2n} (i\zeta)^n \mathcal{V}^{[2n]}(z, \zeta), \\ \mathcal{V}^{[2n]}(z, \zeta) &= \sum_{l=-k}^k \zeta^l V_l(z), \quad \bar{V}_l = (-1)^l V_{-l}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where the bar in the component superfields represents the ordinary complex conjugate. The constraints (2.10) on the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ component superfields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha V_{-k} &= 0, \\ \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha V_{-k+1} + 2D_\alpha^{12} V_{-k} &= 0, \\ \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha V_l + 2D_\alpha^{12} V_{l-1} - \mathbb{D}_\alpha V_{l-2} &= 0, \quad (-k+2 \leq l \leq k), \\ 2D_\alpha^{12} V_k - \mathbb{D}_\alpha V_{k-1} &= 0, \\ \mathbb{D}_\alpha V_k &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

The tropical multiplet is defined as the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ of the $\mathcal{O}(-k, k)$ multiplet.

2.2 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal theories in three-dimensional projective superspace

The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superspace $\mathbb{M}^{3|8}$ is parametrized by the super-coordinates $z^M = (x^m, \theta_{ij}^\alpha)$, where $i = 1, 2$ and $\bar{j} = 1, 2$ are indices for the $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ subgroup of $SO(4)_R$ R-symmetry.

These indices are raised and lowered by the antisymmetric matrices $\varepsilon^{ij}, \varepsilon^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}$ and so on. The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ projective superspace is given by $\mathbb{M}^{3|8} \times \mathbb{CP}_L^1 \times \mathbb{CP}_R^1$. We introduce a pair of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ in order to take into account the two $SU(2)$ symmetries [5]. The complex projective spaces $\mathbb{CP}_L^1 \times \mathbb{CP}_R^1$ are parametrized by the homogeneous complex coordinates $v_L = (v^i), v_R = (v^{\bar{k}})$ and $u_L = (u_i), u_R = (u_{\bar{k}})$. They satisfy the completeness relation (2.1) independently.

As in the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ case, we define the following covariant derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha^{(1)\bar{k}} &= v_i D_\alpha^{i\bar{k}}, & D_\alpha^{(-1)\bar{k}} &= \frac{1}{(v_L, u_L)} u_i D_\alpha^{i\bar{k}}, \\ D_\alpha^{(1)i} &= v_{\bar{k}} D_\alpha^{i\bar{k}}, & D_\alpha^{(-1)i} &= \frac{1}{(v_R, u_R)} u_{\bar{k}} D_\alpha^{i\bar{k}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

The supercovariant derivatives $D_\alpha^{(1)\bar{k}}, D_\alpha^{(-1)\bar{k}}$ satisfy the following algebras,

$$\begin{aligned} \{D_\alpha^{(1)\bar{k}}, D_\beta^{(1)\bar{l}}\} &= \{D_\alpha^{(-1)\bar{k}}, D_\beta^{(-1)\bar{l}}\} = 0, \\ \{D_\alpha^{(1)\bar{k}}, D_\beta^{(-1)\bar{l}}\} &= -2i\varepsilon^{\bar{k}\bar{l}}\partial_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

The other supercovariant derivatives $D_\alpha^{(1)i}, D_\alpha^{(-1)i}$ satisfy the similar algebras. In the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ case, associated with two complex projective spaces $\mathbb{CP}^1$, one can introduce the left and right weight- n projective multiplets independently. They are defined by the following constraints,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha^{(1)\bar{k}} Q_L^{(n)}(v_L) &= 0, \\ D_\alpha^{(1)i} Q_R^{(n)}(v_R) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Each projective multiplet $Q_L^{(n)}, Q_R^{(n)}$ has the property (2.4). The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal transformations of the left and right projective superfields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta Q_L^{(n)} &= -\left(\xi - \Lambda_L^{(2)} \boldsymbol{\partial}_L^{(-2)}\right) Q_L^{(n)} - n\Sigma_L Q_L^{(n)}, \\ \delta Q_R^{(n)} &= -\left(\xi - \Lambda_R^{(2)} \boldsymbol{\partial}_R^{(-2)}\right) Q_R^{(n)} - n\Sigma_R Q_R^{(n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where ξ is the superconformal Killing vector field, $\Lambda_{L,R}, \Sigma_{L,R}$ and $\boldsymbol{\partial}_{L,R}^{(-2)}$ are defined as in the same way in the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ case [5].

In the left part, we introduce the complex inhomogeneous coordinate ζ_L by

$$v^i = v^1(1, \zeta_L), \quad \zeta_L = \frac{v^2}{v^1}. \quad (2.22)$$

Then the supercovariant derivative in the left part becomes

$$D_\alpha^{(1)\bar{k}} = v^1 D_\alpha^{[1]\bar{k}}, \quad D_\alpha^{[1]\bar{k}} \equiv D_\alpha^{2\bar{k}} - \zeta_L D_\alpha^{1\bar{k}}. \quad (2.23)$$

As in the case of the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ formalism, the v^1 dependencies of the projective superfields can be factored out and one can define a new field $Q_L^{[n]} \propto Q_L^{(n)}$ which satisfies the following condition,

$$D_\alpha^{[1]\bar{k}}(\zeta) Q_L^{[n]} = 0. \quad (2.24)$$

Therefore the left projective superfield $Q_L^{[n]}$ is expanded as

$$Q_L^{[n]}(z, \zeta_L) = \sum_k \zeta_L^k Q_k(z), \quad (2.25)$$

where $Q_k(z)$ are the standard $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superfields subject to the constraint (2.20). Similar definitions hold in the right part.

The manifestly $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal invariant action is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\gamma_L} (v_L, dv_L) \int d^3x D_L^{(-4)} \mathcal{L}_L^{(2)}(z, v_L)|_{\theta=0} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{\gamma_R} (v_R, dv_R) \int d^3x D_R^{(-4)} \mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}(z, v_R)|_{\theta=0}, \quad (2.26)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_L^{(2)}(\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)})$ is the weight-2 left (right) projective multiplet and we have defined the following integration measures,

$$\begin{aligned} D_L^{(-4)} &= \frac{1}{48} D^{(-2)\bar{k}\bar{l}} D_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^{(-2)}, & D_{\bar{k}\bar{l}}^{(-2)} &= D_{\bar{k}}^{(-1)\alpha} D_{\alpha\bar{l}}^{(-1)}, \\ D_R^{(-4)} &= \frac{1}{48} D^{(-2)ij} D_{ij}^{(-2)}, & D_{ij}^{(-2)} &= D_i^{(-1)\alpha} D_{\alpha j}^{(-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

The contour γ_L (γ_R) is chosen such that the path goes the outside of the north pole in $\mathbb{CP}_L^1$ ($\mathbb{CP}_R^1$). After fixing $u_i = (1, 0)$, $u_{\bar{k}} = (1, 0)$ in $\mathbb{CP}_L^1$ and $\mathbb{CP}_R^1$, the action (2.26) is rewritten in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma_L} \frac{d\zeta_L}{\zeta_L} \int d^3x d^4\theta \mathcal{L}_L^{[2]}(z, \zeta_L)|_{\theta_{\perp}=0} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma_R} \frac{d\zeta_R}{\zeta_R} \int d^3x d^4\theta \mathcal{L}_R^{[2]}(z, \zeta_R)|_{\theta_{\perp}=0}, \quad (2.28)$$

where the symbol $|_{\theta_{\perp}=0}$ means that the superfields in the Lagrangian are projected on the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace.

Classification of multiplets is similar to the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ case. Since the left and right parts have almost the same structure, we focus on the left part in the following. A complex $\mathcal{O}(k)$ multiplet and a real $\mathcal{O}(-k, k)$ multiplet are defined as (2.14) and (2.16), respectively. Constraints on the components of a complex $\mathcal{O}(k)$ multiplet $\Upsilon^{[n]} = \sum_{l=0}^k \Upsilon_l \zeta^l$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbb{D}}_{\alpha} \Upsilon_0 &= D_{\alpha}^{2\bar{1}} \Upsilon_0 = 0, \\ \bar{\mathbb{D}}_{\alpha} \Upsilon_l &= -D_{\alpha}^{1\bar{2}} \Upsilon_{l-1}, & D_{\alpha}^{2\bar{1}} \Upsilon_l &= \mathbb{D}_{\alpha} \Upsilon_{l-1}, & (1 \leq l \leq k), \\ \mathbb{D}_{\alpha} \Upsilon_k &= D_{\alpha}^{1\bar{2}} \Upsilon_k = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

while those on a real $\mathcal{O}(-k, k)$ multiplet $\mathcal{V}^{[2n]} = \sum_{l=-k}^k V_l \zeta^l$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbb{D}}_{\alpha} V_{-k} &= D_{\alpha}^{2\bar{1}} V_{-k} = 0, \\ \bar{\mathbb{D}}_{\alpha} V_l &= -D_{\alpha}^{1\bar{2}} V_{l-1}, & D_{\alpha}^{2\bar{1}} V_l &= \mathbb{D}_{\alpha} V_{l-1}, & (-k+1 \leq l \leq k), \\ D_{\alpha}^{1\bar{2}} V_k &= \mathbb{D}_{\alpha} V_k = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

The (ant)arctic multiplets and tropical multiplets are defined by taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the complex $\mathcal{O}(k)$ and the real $\mathcal{O}(-k, k)$ multiplets, respectively.

2.3 Four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ projective superspace

The four-dimensional projective superspace is defined by $\mathbb{M}^{4|8} \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ whose coordinates are $(z^M, \zeta) = (x^m, \theta_\alpha^i, \bar{\theta}_{i\dot{\alpha}}, \zeta)$ [3]. The $SU(2)_R$ indices i, j run from 1 to 2. $\alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2$ are the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Lorentz spinor indices. A projective multiplet Υ is defined by the following constraints

$$\nabla_\alpha \Upsilon = \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Upsilon = 0, \quad (2.31)$$

where the operators $\nabla_\alpha, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ are defined by

$$\nabla_\alpha = D_{1\alpha} + \zeta D_{2\alpha}, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 - \zeta \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1. \quad (2.32)$$

The classification of the projective multiplets is the same in the three-dimensional cases without any weight specified. The constraints on the components of the complex $\mathcal{O}(k)$ multiplet $\Upsilon = \sum_{l=0}^k \Upsilon_l \zeta^l$ are

$$\begin{aligned} D_{1\alpha} \Upsilon_0 &= 0, & \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 \Upsilon_0 &= 0, \\ D_{1\alpha} \Upsilon_l + D_{2\alpha} \Upsilon_{l-1} &= 0, \quad (1 \leq l \leq k), & \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 \Upsilon_l - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1 \Upsilon_{l-1} &= 0, \quad (1 \leq l \leq k), \\ D_{2\alpha} \Upsilon_k &= 0, & \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1 \Upsilon_k &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

The constraints on the components of the $\mathcal{O}(-k, k)$ multiplet $\mathcal{V} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} V_l \zeta^l$ are

$$\begin{aligned} D_{1\alpha} V_{-k} &= 0, & \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 V_{-k} &= 0, \\ D_{1\alpha} V_l + D_{2\alpha} V_{l-1} &= 0, \quad (-k+1 \leq l \leq k), & \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 V_l - \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1 V_{l-1} &= 0, \quad (-k+1 \leq l \leq k), \\ D_{2\alpha} V_k &= 0, & \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1 V_k &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

We introduce the conjugate of the operators $\nabla_\alpha, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}$,

$$\Delta_\alpha = D_{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{\zeta} D_{1\alpha}, \quad \bar{\Delta}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1 + \frac{1}{\zeta} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2. \quad (2.35)$$

They satisfy the following algebras,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\nabla_\alpha, \nabla_\beta\} &= \{\nabla_\alpha, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = \{\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \\ \{\Delta_\alpha, \Delta_\beta\} &= \{\Delta_\alpha, \bar{\Delta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = \{\bar{\Delta}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\Delta}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \\ \{\nabla_\alpha, \Delta_\beta\} &= \{\bar{\Delta}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \quad \{\nabla_\alpha, \bar{\Delta}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = \{\Delta_\alpha, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 2i\partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

The operators $\Delta_\alpha, \bar{\Delta}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ are used to define the integration measure in the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ projective superspace. The manifestly $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry invariant action is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \oint_C \frac{\zeta d\zeta}{2\pi i} \Delta^2 \bar{\Delta}^2 K, \quad (2.37)$$

where C is a contour surrounding singularities in the ζ -plane and K is a gauge invariant function of projective superfields and ζ .

3 Non-Abelian gauge multiplet and hypermultiplet in projective superspaces

In this section we work out the explicit relations between the non-Abelian gauge multiplet and the tropical multiplet. In order to find the precise relation, we consider the action of hypermultiplets coupled with the non-Abelian gauge multiplets. In terms of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ language in three dimensions, an $\mathcal{N} = 3$ ($\mathcal{N} = 4$) gauge multiplet consists of a vector superfield V and adjoint (anti)chiral superfields $\Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$. A hypermultiplet consists of two chiral superfields S, T and whose conjugates $\bar{S}, \bar{T}$. The action of an $\mathcal{N} = 3$ hypermultiplet³ in the fundamental representation of the gauge group in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace is

$$S = \int d^3x d^4\theta (\bar{S} e^V S + T e^{-V} \bar{T}) + \left[2 \int d^3x d^2\theta T \Phi_0 S + 2 \int d^3x d^2\bar{\theta} \bar{T} \bar{\Phi}_0 \bar{S} \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

The superfields $V, \Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$ in the action are non-trivial functions of the component superfields V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 in the tropical multiplet. We will determine these functions in the following subsections. The calculation is performed by the perturbation of V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 . We work in the three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 3, \mathcal{N} = 4$ projective superspaces. In each case, the hypermultiplets are defined by the (ant)arctic multiplets. In four dimensions, the situation is the same in three dimensions. In the following, we show the detail calculations in three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 3, \mathcal{N} = 4$ and four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ cases separately.

3.1 $\mathcal{N} = 3$ in three dimensions

The gauge multiplet is defined by the weight-0 real tropical multiplet $\mathcal{V}^{[0]}$. This is adjoint representation of the non-Abelian gauge group G . The gauge transformation of the tropical multiplet is given by

$$e^{\mathcal{V}^{[0]}} \rightarrow e^{-i\bar{\Lambda}^{[0]}} e^{\mathcal{V}^{[0]}} e^{i\Lambda^{[0]}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\Lambda^{[0]}, \bar{\Lambda}^{[0]}$ are weight-0 (ant)arctic multiplets. In the Lindström-Roček gauge, the tropical multiplet is expanded as follows [8],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}^{[0]} &= \zeta^{-1} V_{-1} + V_0 + \zeta V_1, \\ \bar{V}_0 &= V_0, \quad \bar{V}_1 = -V_{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Now we write down the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ gauge multiplet in terms of the tropical multiplet V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 . In the Abelian case, the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector superfield V and the adjoint chiral superfields $\Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$ in the gauge multiplet are identified as [10]

$$V = V_0, \quad \Phi_0 = \frac{i}{8} \bar{\mathbb{D}}^2 V_1, \quad \bar{\Phi}_0 = -\frac{i}{8} \mathbb{D}^2 V_{-1}. \quad (3.4)$$

However in the non-Abelian case, the relations among $V, \Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$ and V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 become non-linear and the identification is not straightforward.

³ Strictly speaking, the action (3.1) has $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry in three dimensions. Here we keep only $\mathcal{N} = 3$ supersymmetry manifest.

We first look for the expression of V . In order to find it, we decompose $\mathcal{V}^{[0]}$ as follows [8],

$$e^{\mathcal{V}^{[0]}} \equiv e^{\hat{V}_-} e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $\hat{V}_\pm$ contains terms with positive (negative) powers of ζ while $\hat{V}_0$ does not depend on ζ . Following [8], we define the gauge transformations of each part:

$$e^{\hat{V}_-} \rightarrow e^{-i\bar{\Lambda}^{[0]}} e^{\hat{V}_-} e^{i\bar{\Lambda}_0}, \quad e^{\hat{V}_0} \rightarrow e^{-i\bar{\Lambda}_0} e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{i\Lambda_0}, \quad e^{\hat{V}_+} \rightarrow e^{-i\Lambda_0} e^{\hat{V}_+} e^{i\Lambda^{[0]}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\Lambda_0, \bar{\Lambda}_0$ are ζ^0 components in the (ant)arctic multiplets $\Lambda^{[0]}, \bar{\Lambda}^{[0]}$. The ζ independent part $\hat{V}_0$ has correct gauge transformation property of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector superfield V . Therefore we identify $\hat{V}_0$ with the vector superfield V :

$$V = \hat{V}_0. \quad (3.7)$$

The explicit form of $\hat{V}_0, \hat{V}_\pm$ can be calculated perturbatively in V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 . We obtain some explicit expressions at low orders in Appendix B.

Next, we look for the explicit forms of the adjoint chiral superfields $\Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$ in terms of the components in the tropical multiplet. The adjoint chiral superfields are constructed through the examination of the interaction terms of hypermultiplets and the non-Abelian gauge multiplet.

The weight-2 Lagrangian of the free hypermultiplet is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{[2]} = \bar{\Upsilon}^{[1]} \Upsilon^{[1]}. \quad (3.8)$$

where $\Upsilon^{[1]}, \bar{\Upsilon}^{[1]}$ are weight-1 arctic and antarctic multiplets. Expanding the projective superfields in ζ and performing the integration over ζ , we find that the action associated with the Lagrangian (3.8) becomes

$$S = \int d^3x d^4\theta [\bar{\Upsilon}_0 \Upsilon_0 - \bar{\Upsilon}_1 \Upsilon_1 + \mathbb{D}^2 \bar{\Upsilon}_1 Y_0 + \bar{Y}_0 \bar{\mathbb{D}}^2 \Upsilon_1], \quad (3.9)$$

where we have integrated out the auxiliary fields $\Upsilon_l, \bar{\Upsilon}_l$, ($l \geq 2$) and introduced the Lagrange multipliers $Y_0, \bar{Y}_0$ to impose the constraints of the projective multiplet. The components $\bar{\Upsilon}_0, \Upsilon_0$ are (anti)chiral superfields in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace. We next integrate out $\bar{\Upsilon}_1, \Upsilon_1$ and dualize these fields to $\bar{T} \equiv \mathbb{D}^2 Y_0$, $T \equiv \bar{\mathbb{D}}^2 \bar{Y}_0$. The new superfields $\bar{T}, T$ satisfy the (anti)chiral superfield condition and the action becomes

$$S = \int d^3x d^4\theta (\bar{\Upsilon}_0 \Upsilon_0 + \bar{T} T). \quad (3.10)$$

This is nothing but the action of the free hypermultiplet in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace. Now we couple the hypermultiplet with the non-Abelian gauge multiplet. The weight-2 gauge invariant Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{[2]} = \bar{\Upsilon}^{[1]} e^{\mathcal{V}^{[0]}} \Upsilon^{[1]}. \quad (3.11)$$

The gauge transformations of the (ant)arctic multiplets are defined as

$$\Upsilon^{[1]} \rightarrow e^{-i\Lambda^{[0]}} \Upsilon^{[1]}, \quad \bar{\Upsilon}^{[1]} \rightarrow \bar{\Upsilon}^{[1]} e^{i\bar{\Lambda}^{[0]}}. \quad (3.12)$$

Especially, for the ζ^0 component we have

$$\Upsilon_0 \rightarrow e^{-i\lambda_0}\Upsilon_0, \quad \bar{\Upsilon}_0 \rightarrow \bar{\Upsilon}_0 e^{i\bar{\lambda}_0}. \quad (3.13)$$

We note that these fields have correct gauge transformation of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplets (chiral) superfields. However, writing down the Lagrangian (3.11) in terms $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields is cumbersome since the tropical multiplet $\mathcal{V}^{[0]}$ appears in the Lagrangian non-linearly. In order to find the structure of the Lagrangian in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace, we define the following new fields:

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]} \equiv \bar{\Upsilon}^{[1]} e^{\hat{V}_-}, \quad \tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]} \equiv e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+} \Upsilon^{[1]}. \quad (3.14)$$

Then the Lagrangian (3.11) is rewritten as the form of the free hypermultiplet:

$$\mathcal{L}^{[2]} = \tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]} \tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]}. \quad (3.15)$$

The gauge transformation of the new fields are

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]} \rightarrow \tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]} e^{i\bar{\lambda}_0}, \quad \tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]} \rightarrow e^{-i\bar{\lambda}_0} \tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]}. \quad (3.16)$$

We note that the positive and negative powers of ζ components in $\tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]}, \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}^{[1]}$ are never mixed under the gauge transformations (3.16).

We next specify what constraints the new fields (3.16) satisfy. The supercovariant derivative $D_\alpha^{[2]}$ that defines the projective multiplet should be gauge covariantized. In order that the fields (3.14) become the covariantly projective multiplets, we define the following gauge (super)connection,

$$\Omega_\alpha \equiv e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+} \vec{D}_\alpha^{[2]} (e^{-\hat{V}_+} e^{-\hat{V}_0}). \quad (3.17)$$

Then, the left gauge covariant derivative acting on fields with charge q is defined by

$$\vec{D}_\alpha^{[2]} * \equiv (e^{q\hat{V}_0} e^{q\hat{V}_+} \vec{D}_\alpha^{[2]} e^{-q\hat{V}_+} e^{-q\hat{V}_0}) * = \vec{D}_\alpha^{[2]} * + e^{q\hat{V}_0} e^{q\hat{V}_+} \vec{D}_\alpha^{[2]} (e^{-q\hat{V}_+} e^{-q\hat{V}_0}) \triangleright *, \quad (3.18)$$

where the symbol $\triangleright$ means that the quantity acts as the appropriate representation of the gauge group G . By the same way, we define the right gauge covariant derivative,

$$* \overleftarrow{D}_\alpha^{[2]} \equiv * (e^{-q\hat{V}_-} \overleftarrow{D}_\alpha^{[2]} e^{q\hat{V}_-}) = * \overleftarrow{D}_\alpha + * \triangleleft (e^{-q\hat{V}_-} \overleftarrow{D}_\alpha^{(2)}) e^{q\hat{V}_-}. \quad (3.19)$$

We note that the ordering of the product is important since all the fields are matrix valued in the non-Abelian case. Then, for the fundamental representation $\tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]}, \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}^{[1]}$ we can show that the new fields (3.14) satisfy the gauge covariantized projective constraints:

$$\vec{D}_\alpha^{[2]} \tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]} = 0, \quad \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}^{[1]} \overleftarrow{D}_\alpha^{[2]} = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

The gauge transformation of the connection is

$$\Omega_\alpha \rightarrow \Omega'_\alpha = e^{-iq\bar{\lambda}_0} \Omega_\alpha e^{iq\bar{\lambda}_0} + e^{-iq\bar{\lambda}_0} (D_\alpha^{[2]} e^{iq\bar{\lambda}_0}). \quad (3.21)$$

This is the typical gauge transformation associated with the transformation (3.16).

We next calculate the gauge connection Ω_α . From the constraint condition $D_\alpha^{[2]}\mathcal{V}^{[0]} = 0$, we have an identity,

$$e^{-\hat{V}_-}(D_\alpha^{[2]}e^{\hat{V}_-}) = e^{\hat{V}_0}e^{\hat{V}_+}D_\alpha^{[2]}(e^{-\hat{V}_+}e^{-\hat{V}_0}). \quad (3.22)$$

Using the ζ -expansion of the supercovariant derivative $D_\alpha^{[2]} = -\bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha - 2\zeta D_\alpha^{12} + \zeta^2 \mathbb{D}_\alpha$, the both sides in (3.22) are expanded as

$$e^{-\hat{V}_-}(D_\alpha^{[2]}e^{\hat{V}_-}) \equiv \zeta^2 \Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)} + \zeta \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} + \zeta^0 \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \zeta^{-l} \Gamma_{l\alpha}^{(-)}, \quad (3.23)$$

$$e^{\hat{V}_0}e^{\hat{V}_+}D_\alpha^{[2]}(e^{-\hat{V}_+}e^{-\hat{V}_0}) \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \zeta^l \Gamma_{l\alpha}^{(+)}. \quad (3.24)$$

Then we have the following relations among the components,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{2\alpha}^{(+)} &= \Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)}, & \Gamma_{1\alpha}^{(+)} &= \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)}, & \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(+)} &= \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)}, \\ \Gamma_{l\geq 3\alpha}^{(+)} &= \Gamma_{l\geq 1\alpha}^{(-)} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

The gauge connection is therefore expressed as

$$\Omega_\alpha = \zeta^2 \Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)} + \zeta \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} + \zeta^0 \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)}. \quad (3.26)$$

Consequently the gauge covariantized supercovariant derivative contains ζ^0, ζ, ζ^2 components:

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{[2]} = D_\alpha^{[2]} + \Omega_\alpha \equiv -\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha - 2\zeta \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{12} + \zeta^2 \mathcal{D}_\alpha, \quad (3.27)$$

where we have defined the gauge covariantized supercovariant derivatives

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \mathbb{D}_\alpha + \Gamma_{2\alpha}^{(-)}, \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{12} = D_\alpha^{12} - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha = \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha - \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)}. \quad (3.28)$$

The anti-commutation relations of the above gauge covariant derivatives are found in Appendix C. From the explicit form of the left hand side in (3.22) we find that the ζ^2 term in the gauge connection vanishes identically,

$$\Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)} = 0. \quad (3.29)$$

In general, $\hat{V}_-$ in the left hand side of (3.5) is expanded as $\hat{V}_- = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \zeta^{-l} \hat{V}_{-l}$. Then we find

$$e^{-\hat{V}_-} D_\alpha^{[2]} e^{\hat{V}_-} = -2D_\alpha^{12} \hat{V}_{-1} + \mathbb{D}_\alpha \hat{V}_{-2} + \frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{D}_\alpha \hat{V}_{-1}, \hat{V}_{-1}] + \zeta \mathbb{D}_\alpha \hat{V}_{-1}. \quad (3.30)$$

Therefore we obtain the following form of the components in the gauge connection,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)} &= 0, \\ \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} &= \mathbb{D}_\alpha \hat{V}_{-1} \\ \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)} &= \mathbb{D}_\alpha \hat{V}_{-2} - 2D_\alpha^{12} \hat{V}_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{D}_\alpha \hat{V}_{-1}, \hat{V}_{-1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Only the ζ^{-1}, ζ^{-2} components in $\hat{V}_-$ contribute to the gauge connection. The explicit representations of $\hat{V}_{-1}, \hat{V}_{-2}$ in the decomposition of $e^{\mathcal{V}^{[0]}}$ are calculated in Appendix B.

The constraints on the component superfields in the (ant)arctic multiplet (3.14) are now gauge covariantized:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 &= 0, \\
\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \tilde{\Upsilon}_1 + 2\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{12} \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 &= 0, \\
\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \tilde{\Upsilon}_l + 2\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{12} \tilde{\Upsilon}_{l-1} - \mathcal{D}_\alpha \tilde{\Upsilon}_{l-2} &= 0, \quad (l \geq 2), \\
\tilde{\Upsilon}_0 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha &= 0, \\
\tilde{\Upsilon}_1 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha - 2\tilde{\Upsilon}_0 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^{12} &= 0, \\
\tilde{\Upsilon}_{l-2} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha + 2\tilde{\Upsilon}_{l-1} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^{12} - \tilde{\Upsilon}_l \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha &= 0, \quad (l \geq 2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

From the above constraints and the anti-commutation relations of the gauge covariantized supercovariant derivatives in Appendix C, we find the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 \tilde{\Upsilon}_1 &= -2\bar{\mathcal{D}}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{12} \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 = -2 \left[D^{12\alpha} \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\mathbb{D}}^\alpha \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} - \frac{1}{2} \{ \Gamma_{-1}^{(-)\alpha}, \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)} \} \right] \tilde{\Upsilon}_0, \\
\tilde{\Upsilon}_1 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 &= 2\tilde{\Upsilon}_0 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^{12} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}^\alpha = 2\tilde{\Upsilon}_0 \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D}^\alpha \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} + D^{12\alpha} \Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)} + \frac{1}{2} \{ \Gamma_{-2}^{(-)\alpha}, \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} \} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

As we will see, the adjoint chiral superfields in the gauge multiplet appear in these relations. we define the gauge covariantized chiral superfields $\tilde{\Phi}_0, \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0$ in the gauge multiplet as

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0 \equiv -2 \left[D^{12\alpha} \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\mathbb{D}}^\alpha \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} - \frac{1}{2} \{ \Gamma_{-1}^{(-)\alpha}, \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)} \} \right], \tag{3.34}$$

$$\bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0 \equiv 2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D}^\alpha \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} + D^{12\alpha} \Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)} + \frac{1}{2} \{ \Gamma_{-2}^{(-)\alpha}, \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} \} \right]. \tag{3.35}$$

The gauge transformations of the fields $\tilde{\Phi}_0, \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0$ follow from the definition (3.34), (3.35). They are found to be

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0 \rightarrow e^{-i\bar{\lambda}_0} \tilde{\Phi}_0 e^{i\bar{\lambda}_0}, \quad \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0 \rightarrow e^{-i\bar{\lambda}_0} \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0 e^{i\bar{\lambda}_0}. \tag{3.36}$$

As we will see, these gauge transformations are consistent with the fact that the original (before gauge covariantized) field $\Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$ transform as the adjoint representation of G .

The explicit form of the gauge covariantized chiral superfields can be obtained by the perturbation in V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 . Here we explicitly write down the connections up to $\mathcal{O}(V^3)$,

$$\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)} = \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha V_0 + \frac{1}{2} [V_0, \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha V_0] - \frac{1}{2} [V_{-1}, \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha V_1] + \mathcal{O}(V^3), \tag{3.37}$$

$$\Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} = \mathbb{D}_\alpha V_{-1} + \frac{1}{2} [V_0, \mathbb{D}_\alpha V_{-1}] + \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{D}_\alpha V_0, V_{-1}] + \mathcal{O}(V^3), \tag{3.38}$$

$$\Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)} = 0. \tag{3.39}$$

Higher order corrections can be calculated systematically by using the decomposition of $e^{\mathcal{V}^{[0]}}$ found in Appendix C. Then the anti-chiral field is calculated as

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0 = -\mathbb{D}^2 V_{-1} - \frac{1}{2}[V_0, \mathbb{D}^2 V_{-1}] - \frac{1}{2}[\mathbb{D}^2 V_0, V_{-1}] - \{\mathbb{D}^\alpha V_0, \mathbb{D}_\alpha V_{-1}\} + \mathcal{O}(V^3), \quad (3.40)$$

while the chiral superfield $\tilde{\Phi}_0$ is

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0 = \bar{\mathbb{D}}^2 V_1 + \frac{1}{2}[V_0, \bar{\mathbb{D}}^2 V_1] + \{\bar{\mathbb{D}}^\alpha V_1, \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha V_0\} + \mathcal{O}(V^3). \quad (3.41)$$

Here we have used the constraints $\mathbb{D}_\alpha V_1 = \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha V_{-1} = 0$ on the tropical multiplet. We stress that the anti-chiral superfield is written as the “ $\mathbb{D}^2$ -exact form” $\tilde{\Phi}_0 = \mathbb{D}^2 \hat{V}_{-1}$ and the gauge covariant chirality follows from the nilpotency of the supercovariant derivative $\mathbb{D}_\alpha$ for the full order in V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 :

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha \tilde{\Phi}_0 = \mathbb{D}_\alpha \mathbb{D}^2 \hat{V}_{-1} = 0. \quad (3.42)$$

On the other hand, the chirality of $\tilde{\Phi}_0$ is shown in the perturbation of V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 . For example, up to $\mathcal{O}(V^3)$, we can show that the superfield $\tilde{\Phi}_0$ satisfies the gauge covariantized chirality condition,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \tilde{\Phi}_0 &= \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha \left\{ \bar{\mathbb{D}}^2 V_1 + \frac{1}{2}[V_0, \bar{\mathbb{D}}^2 V_1] + \{\bar{\mathbb{D}}^\beta V_1, \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\beta V_0\} \right\} - [\bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha V_0, \bar{\mathbb{D}}^2 V_1] + \mathcal{O}(V^3) \\ &= 0 + \mathcal{O}(V^3), \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

where we have used the projective constraints on V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 .

The action of a hypermultiplet in the fundamental representation of the gauge group G is given in (3.11). After expanding the fields in ζ and integrate over the $\mathbb{CP}^1$, we have the action in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ subsuperspace as

$$S = \int d^3 x d^4 \theta \left[\tilde{\Upsilon}_0 \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 - \tilde{\Upsilon}_1 \tilde{\Upsilon}_1 + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} (-1)^l \tilde{\Upsilon}_l \tilde{\Upsilon}_l + (\tilde{\Upsilon}_1 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 - \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 \tilde{\Phi}_0) \tilde{Y}_0 + \tilde{Y}_0 (\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 \tilde{\Upsilon}_1 - \tilde{\Phi}_0 \tilde{\Upsilon}_0) \right], \quad (3.44)$$

where we have introduced the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Lagrange multiplier superfields $Y_0, \tilde{Y}_0$ to impose the projective constraints. The gauge transformations of the Lagrange multipliers are defined as

$$\tilde{Y}_0 \rightarrow e^{-i\tilde{\lambda}_0} \tilde{Y}_0, \quad \tilde{\bar{Y}}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{\bar{Y}}_0 e^{i\tilde{\lambda}_0}. \quad (3.45)$$

The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields $Y_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$ and $\bar{Y}_0, \Phi_0$ are interpreted as ζ^0 components of the arctic and antarctic multiplets $Y, \bar{\Phi}$ and $\bar{Y}, \Phi$ respectively. From the “fields with tilde”, we can go back to the original $\mathcal{N} = 3$ projective superfields (before gauge covariantized) by factoring out the decomposed fields $\hat{V}_-, \hat{V}_0, \hat{V}_+$ of the tropical multiplets:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Y} &= e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+} Y, & \tilde{\bar{Y}} &= \bar{Y} e^{\hat{V}_-}, \\ \tilde{\Phi} &= e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+} \Phi e^{-\hat{V}_+} e^{-\hat{V}_0}, & \tilde{\bar{\Phi}} &= e^{-\hat{V}_-} \bar{\Phi} e^{\hat{V}_-}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

Especially, the ζ^0 component of each field is found to be

$$\tilde{Y}_0 = e^{\hat{V}_0} Y_0, \quad \bar{\tilde{Y}}_0 = \bar{Y}_0, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_0 = e^{\hat{V}_0} \Phi_0 e^{-\hat{V}_0}, \quad \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0 = \bar{\Phi}_0. \quad (3.47)$$

The gauge transformations of the original fields are

$$\begin{aligned} Y &\rightarrow e^{-i\Lambda^{[0]}} Y, & \bar{Y} &\rightarrow \bar{Y} e^{i\bar{\Lambda}^{[0]}}, \\ \Phi &\rightarrow e^{-i\Lambda^{[0]}} \Phi e^{i\Lambda^{[0]}}, & \bar{\Phi} &\rightarrow e^{-i\bar{\Lambda}^{[0]}} \bar{\Phi} e^{i\bar{\Lambda}^{[0]}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

As we have mentioned, $\Phi, \bar{\Phi}$ are adjoint representations of the gauge group G . Note that $\Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$ satisfy the ordinary (anti)chiral superfield conditions $\mathbb{D}_\alpha \Phi_0 = \mathbb{D}_\alpha \bar{\Phi}_0 = 0$.

Now we rewrite the action (3.44) as follows. First, we integrate out the infinite number of the auxiliary fields $\tilde{\Upsilon}_l, \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_l$, ($l \geq 2$). We then integrate out $\tilde{\Upsilon}_1, \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_1$ and dualize the fields $\tilde{\Upsilon}_1, \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_1$ into $\tilde{Y}_0, \bar{\tilde{Y}}_0$. The action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^3x d^4\theta \left[\bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_0 \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 + \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 \tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{Y}_0 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 - \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_0 \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0 \tilde{Y}_0 - \tilde{Y}_0 \Phi_0 \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \int d^3x d^2\theta \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 \left[-\bar{\tilde{Y}}_0 \Phi_0 \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 \right] - \frac{1}{4} \int d^3x d^2\bar{\theta} \left[-\bar{\Phi}_0 \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_0 \tilde{Y}_0 \right] \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 \\ &= \int d^3x d^4\theta \left[\bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_0 e^{\hat{V}_0} \Upsilon_0 + \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 \tilde{Y}_0 \cdot \tilde{Y}_0 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \int d^3x d^2\theta \left[-\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 \tilde{Y}_0 \tilde{\Phi}_0 \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 \right] - \frac{1}{4} \int d^3x d^2\bar{\theta} \left[-\bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_0 \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0 \tilde{Y}_0 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

where we have used the relations $\bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_0 = \bar{\Upsilon}_0$, $\tilde{\Upsilon}_0 = e^{\hat{V}_0} \Upsilon_0$, the gauge covariant constraints $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 = \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 = 0$ and the gauge covariant chiralities $\bar{\tilde{\Phi}} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha = \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \tilde{\Phi} = 0$. We stress that the covariant chirality of $\tilde{\Phi}_0, \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0$ is crucial to write down the action in terms of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ component superfields. After the field redefinition

$$\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 \tilde{Y}_0 \equiv T e^{-\hat{V}_0}, \quad \tilde{Y}_0 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 \equiv \bar{T}, \quad (3.50)$$

the relabeling $\Upsilon_0 \rightarrow S$ and the rescaling $\Phi \rightarrow 8\Phi$, we find that the action (3.49) precisely reproduces the action of the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ hypermultiplet coupled with non-Abelian gauge multiplet (3.1). Generalizations to the multi-flavour models, adjoint or bi-fundamental representations of hypermultiplets are straightforward.

3.2 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ in three dimensions

In this subsection, we generalize the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ construction to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories. Since the left and right sectors are essentially the same, we concentrate on the left part. In the Lindström-Roček gauge, the weight-0 left tropical multiplet $\mathcal{V}_L^{[0]}$ is expanded as

$$\mathcal{V}_L^{[0]} = \frac{1}{\zeta_L} V_{L,-1} + V_{L,0} + \zeta_L V_{L,1}. \quad (3.51)$$

In the following, we omit the subscript L . The non-Abelian gauge transformation is defined by (3.2). As in the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ case, we consider the decomposition (3.5). From the constraint $D_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} \mathcal{V}^{[0]} = 0$ we have an identity

$$e^{-\hat{V}_-} (D_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} e^{\hat{V}_-}) = e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+} D_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} (e^{-\hat{V}_+} e^{-\hat{V}_0}). \quad (3.52)$$

The both sides in (3.52) are expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\hat{V}_-} (D_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} e^{\hat{V}_-}) &\equiv \zeta \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)\bar{k}} + \zeta^0 \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{k}} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \zeta^{-l} \Gamma_{l\alpha}^{(-)\bar{k}}, \\ e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+} D_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} (e^{-\hat{V}_+} e^{-\hat{V}_0}) &\equiv \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \zeta^l \Gamma_{l\alpha}^{(+)\bar{k}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

Therefore we have the following relations among the components

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{1\alpha}^{(+)\bar{k}} &= \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)\bar{k}}, \quad \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(+)\bar{k}} = \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{k}}, \\ \Gamma_{l \geq 2 \alpha}^{(+)\bar{k}} &= \Gamma_{l \geq 1 \alpha}^{(-)\bar{k}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

Using the ζ -expansion of the supercovariant derivative $D_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} = D_\alpha^{2\bar{k}} - \zeta D_\alpha^{1\bar{k}}$ in the left hand side of (3.52), we find that the ζ component in (3.52) vanishes identically,

$$\Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)\bar{k}} = 0. \quad (3.55)$$

Therefore the gauge connection contains only terms with the zeroth order of ζ ,

$$\Omega_\alpha^{\bar{k}} \equiv e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+} D_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} (e^{-\hat{V}_+} e^{-\hat{V}_0}) = \zeta^0 \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{k}}. \quad (3.56)$$

The gauge covariantized supercovariant derivative is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} \equiv D_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} + \Omega_\alpha^{\bar{k}} = \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{2\bar{k}} - \zeta \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{k}}. \quad (3.57)$$

Then each component in the gauge covariant derivative is found to be

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{2\bar{k}} = D_\alpha^{2\bar{k}} + \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{k}}, \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{k}} = D_\alpha^{1\bar{k}}. \quad (3.58)$$

As in the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ case, we define the left and right gauge covariant derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} * &= \overrightarrow{D}_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} * + e^{q\hat{V}_0} e^{q\hat{V}_+} \overrightarrow{D}_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} (e^{-q\hat{V}_+} e^{-q\hat{V}_0}) \triangleright *, \\ * \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} &= * \overleftarrow{D}_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} + * \triangleleft (e^{-q\hat{V}_-} \overleftarrow{D}_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} e^{q\hat{V}_-}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

We now calculate the explicit form of the gauge connection. Form the left hand side in (3.52), we find

$$e^{-\hat{V}_-} (D_\alpha^{[1\bar{k}]} e^{\hat{V}_-}) = -D_\alpha^{1\bar{k}} \hat{V}_{-1}. \quad (3.60)$$

where we have used the fact that terms with negative powers of ζ vanish. Then, we find

$$\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{k}} = -D_\alpha^{1\bar{k}} \hat{V}_{-1}. \quad (3.61)$$

Therefore the gauge covariant derivatives are found to be

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{1}} = \mathbb{D}_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{2}} = D_\alpha^{1\bar{2}}, \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{2\bar{1}} = D_\alpha^{2\bar{1}} - \mathbb{D}_\alpha \hat{V}_{-1}, \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{2\bar{2}} = -\bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha - D_\alpha^{1\bar{2}} \hat{V}_{-1}. \quad (3.62)$$

Again, $\hat{V}_{-1}$ is calculated by the perturbation of the components V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 (see Appendix B). The anti-commutation relations of the covariant derivatives are found in Appendix C.

In order to find the explicit form of the (anti)chiral superfields $\Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$ in the gauge multiplet, let us consider weight-1 left (ant)arctic multiplets $\tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]}, \Upsilon^{[1]}$ in the (anti)fundamental representation. The gauge invariant weight-2 Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{[2]} = \tilde{\Upsilon}^{[1]} e^{\mathcal{V}^{[0]}} \Upsilon^{[1]}. \quad (3.63)$$

The gauge transformation and the definition of the new fields (3.14) are the same in the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ case. The projective constraints on the new fields are gauge covariantized. Using the anti-commutation relations of the gauge covariant derivatives and the gauge covariantized projective constraints, we find the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 \tilde{\Upsilon}_1 &= -\bar{\mathcal{D}}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{2}} \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 = D^{1\bar{2}\alpha} \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{2}} \tilde{\Upsilon}_0, \\ \tilde{\Upsilon}_1 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2 &= \tilde{\Upsilon}_1 \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha^{2\bar{1}} \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}^\alpha = \mathbb{D}^\alpha \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{1}} \tilde{\Upsilon}_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.64)$$

where we have defined

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{1}} \equiv \mathcal{D}_\alpha = \mathbb{D}_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{2\bar{2}} \equiv \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha = -\bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha - D_\alpha^{1\bar{2}} \hat{V}_{-1}. \quad (3.65)$$

From these relations, we define the gauge covariantized chiral superfields $\tilde{\Phi}_0, \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0$,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0 \equiv D^{1\bar{2}\alpha} \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{2}}, \quad \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0 \equiv \mathbb{D}^\alpha \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{1}}. \quad (3.66)$$

Since the ζ^0 component of the gauge connection is given by $\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{1}} = -\mathbb{D}_\alpha \hat{V}_{-1}$, the anti-chiral superfield $\bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0$ is again $\mathbb{D}^2$ -exact form. Therefore the chirality $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0 = \mathbb{D}_\alpha \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0 = 0$ is shown for the full order in V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 . On the other hand, the chirality of $\tilde{\Phi}_0$ is shown in the perturbation of V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 . For example, up to $\mathcal{O}(V^3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha \tilde{\Phi}_0 &= \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha D^{1\bar{2}\beta} D_\beta^{1\bar{2}} V_{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha D^{1\bar{2}\beta} D_\beta^{1\bar{2}} [V_0, V_{-1}] + \left[D_\alpha^{1\bar{2}} V_{-1}, D^{1\bar{2}\beta} D_\beta^{1\bar{2}} V_{-1} \right] + \mathcal{O}(V^3). \\ &= 0 + \mathcal{O}(V^3), \end{aligned} \quad (3.67)$$

where we have used the relations obtained by the repeated use of the constraints (2.17):

$$\bar{\mathbb{D}}^2 V_0 = -\bar{\mathbb{D}}^\alpha D_\alpha^{1\bar{2}} V_{-1} = D_\alpha^{1\bar{2}} \bar{\mathbb{D}}^\alpha V_{-1} = 0. \quad (3.68)$$

Now we have proved the chirality of $\tilde{\Phi}_0, \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0$. Note that $\Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$ obtained from the left tropical multiplet by this way belong to the right multiplet [11, 10]. The construction of the action is the same in the $\mathcal{N} = 3$ case.

3.3 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ in four dimensions

The tropical multiplet $\mathcal{V} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \zeta^l V_l$ satisfy the following projective constraints,

$$\nabla_\alpha \mathcal{V} = \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{V} = 0. \quad (3.69)$$

We consider the decomposition (3.5) of the tropical multiplet. Using the constraints (3.69) we have the following identities,

$$e^{-\hat{V}_-} (\nabla_\alpha e^{\hat{V}_-}) = e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+} \nabla_\alpha (e^{-\hat{V}_+} e^{-\hat{V}_0}), \quad (3.70)$$

$$e^{-\hat{V}_-} (\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} e^{\hat{V}_-}) = e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+} \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} (e^{-\hat{V}_+} e^{-\hat{V}_0}). \quad (3.71)$$

The definition of the gauge connections is

$$\Omega_\alpha \equiv e^{q\hat{V}_0} e^{q\hat{V}_+} \nabla_\alpha (e^{-q\hat{V}_+} e^{-q\hat{V}_0}) = e^{-q\hat{V}_-} (\nabla_\alpha e^{q\hat{V}_-}), \quad (3.72)$$

$$\bar{\Omega}_{\dot{\alpha}} \equiv e^{q\hat{V}_0} e^{q\hat{V}_+} \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} (e^{-q\hat{V}_+} e^{-q\hat{V}_0}) = e^{-q\hat{V}_-} (\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} e^{q\hat{V}_-}).$$

By the same way, the gauge covariantized left supercovariant derivatives are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{\nabla}_\alpha^G * &\equiv \nabla_\alpha * + \Omega_\alpha \triangleright * \equiv (\mathcal{D}_{1\alpha} + \zeta \mathcal{D}_{2\alpha}) *, \\ \overrightarrow{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^G * &\equiv \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} * + \bar{\Omega}_{\dot{\alpha}} \triangleright * \equiv (\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 - \zeta \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1) *. \end{aligned} \quad (3.73)$$

The right derivatives are defined similarly. From the middle and the left sides in (3.70) we have the ζ expansions,

$$e^{-\hat{V}_-} (\nabla_\alpha e^{\hat{V}_-}) \equiv \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} \zeta + \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)} \zeta^0 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{l\alpha}^{(-)} \zeta^{-l}, \quad (3.74)$$

$$e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+} \nabla_\alpha (e^{-\hat{V}_+} e^{-\hat{V}_0}) \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_{l\alpha}^{(+)} \zeta^l. \quad (3.75)$$

By the same way, we define $\bar{\Gamma}_{l\dot{\alpha}}^{(\pm)}$ by the ζ expansions of (2.34). Then the following relations hold,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)} &= \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(+)}, \quad \Gamma_{l\geq 1\alpha}^{(-)} = \Gamma_{l\geq 1\alpha}^{(+)} = 0, \\ \bar{\Gamma}_{0\dot{\alpha}}^{(-)} &= \bar{\Gamma}_{0\dot{\alpha}}^{(+)}, \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{l\geq 1\dot{\alpha}}^{(-)} = \bar{\Gamma}_{l\geq 1\dot{\alpha}}^{(+)} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.76)$$

We can show that the ζ^1 terms in the left hand side of (3.70) and (3.71) vanish identically $\Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} = \bar{\Gamma}_{-1\dot{\alpha}}^{(-)} = 0$. Therefore the gauge covariantized supercovariant derivatives are found to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{1\alpha} &= D_{1\alpha} + \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)}, & \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 &= \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2 + \bar{\Gamma}_{0\dot{\alpha}}^{(-)}, \\ \mathcal{D}_{2\alpha} &= D_{2\alpha}, & \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1 &= \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.77)$$

The anti-commutation relations of the gauge covariant derivatives are found in Appendix B.

The gauge connections are calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\alpha &= e^{-\hat{V}_-} (\nabla_\alpha e^{\hat{V}_-}) = D_{2\alpha} \hat{V}_{-1}, \\ \bar{\Omega}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= e^{-\hat{V}_-} (\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} e^{\hat{V}_-}) = -\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1 \hat{V}_{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.78)$$

The explicit expressions of the connections $\Omega_\alpha, \bar{\Omega}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ depend only on the ζ^{-1} term $\hat{V}_{-1}$ in the decomposition of the tropical multiplet. This is the same situation found in the three-dimensional case. Up to $\mathcal{O}(V^3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)} &= D_{2\alpha}\hat{V}_{-1} = D_{2\alpha}\left(V_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}[V_0, V_{-1}]\right) + \mathcal{O}(V^3), \\ \bar{\Gamma}_{0\dot{\alpha}}^{(-)} &= -\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1\hat{V}_{-1} = -\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1\left(V_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}[V_0, V_{-1}]\right) + \mathcal{O}(V^3).\end{aligned}\tag{3.79}$$

As in the three-dimensional cases, we define the new fields from the (ant)arctic multiplets:

$$\tilde{\Upsilon} \equiv e^{\hat{V}_0}e^{\hat{V}_+}\Upsilon, \quad \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}} \equiv \bar{\Upsilon}e^{\hat{V}_-}.\tag{3.80}$$

The first (the second) fields satisfy the left (right) gauge covariantized projective constraint.

Using the gauge covariantized constraints on the component superfields $\tilde{\Upsilon}_0, \tilde{\Upsilon}_1, \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_0, \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_1$ and the anti-commutation relations of the gauge covariant derivatives, we obtain the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2)^2\tilde{\Upsilon}_1 &= \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{2\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\Upsilon}_1 = \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{1\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\Upsilon}_0 = -\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\Gamma}_0^{(-)\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\Upsilon}_0, \\ \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_1(\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}^2)^2 &= \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_1\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha 2}\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_2^\alpha = \bar{\tilde{\Upsilon}}_0\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha 1}\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_2^\alpha = \tilde{\Upsilon}_0 D_2^\alpha \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.81}$$

From these expressions, we define the gauge covariantized chiral superfields,

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0 \equiv -\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1\bar{\Gamma}_0^{(-)\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0 \equiv D_2^\alpha \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)}.\tag{3.82}$$

In the following, we define the supercovariant derivative in the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ subsuperspace as $\mathbb{D}_\alpha = D_{2\alpha}, \bar{\mathbb{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2$. We also write the corresponding gauge covariantized supercovariant derivatives as $\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}$. Then up to cubic order in V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 , we obtain

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0 = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1\bar{D}^{1\dot{\alpha}}\left(V_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}[V_0, V_{-1}]\right) + \mathcal{O}(V^3),\tag{3.83}$$

$$\bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0 = D_2^\alpha D_{2\alpha}\hat{V}_{-1} = \mathbb{D}^2\hat{V}_{-1}.\tag{3.84}$$

Therefore we find

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha\bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0 = \mathbb{D}_\alpha\mathbb{D}^2\hat{V}_{-1} = 0.\tag{3.85}$$

Again, the chirality of $\bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0$ is shown in the full order of V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 . On the other hand, the chirality of $\tilde{\Phi}_0$ is shown perturbatively,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{\Phi}_0 &= \bar{\mathbb{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}^1\bar{D}^{1\dot{\beta}}V_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}^1\bar{D}^{1\dot{\beta}}[V_0, V_{-1}] - [\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1V_{-1}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}^1\bar{D}^{1\dot{\beta}}V_{-1}] + \mathcal{O}(V^3), \\ &= 0 + \mathcal{O}(V^3),\end{aligned}\tag{3.86}$$

The action is constructed as in the cases of three dimensions.

4 Conclusion and discussions

In this paper we have studied the explicit relations between the non-Abelian gauge multiplets and the tropical multiples in three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 3$, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ and four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ projective superspaces. In three dimensions, the actions possess the conformal invariance. Although the formal procedure to construct the gauge invariant actions has been discussed in the literature, the explicit form of the decomposition of the non-Abelian tropical multiplet $e^\nu = e^{\hat{V}_-} e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+}$ and the expression of the gauge connection Ω_α have not been studied in detail. For Abelian gauge groups, the decomposition of the tropical multiplet is trivial, namely, in the Lindström-Roček gauge it is given by $\hat{V}_- = V_{-1}\zeta^{-1}$, $\hat{V}_0 = V_0$, $\hat{V}_+ = \zeta V_1$. Then the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector superfield V is identified with the ζ^0 component V_0 in the tropical multiplet. The relations (3.4) among the chiral superfields $\Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$ in the gauge multiplet and V_1, V_{-1} in the tropical multiplet are linear and the chiralities of $\Phi_0, \bar{\Phi}_0$ follows automatically.

On the other hand, for non-Abelian gauge groups, the decomposition is quite non-trivial and the relation between the gauge multiplet and the tropical multiplet becomes highly non-linear. In the present paper, we have performed the decomposition of the tropical multiplet for non-Abelian gauge groups explicitly and found the precise form of the components $\hat{V}_-, \hat{V}_0, \hat{V}_+$. Using the decomposition, the gauge connection Ω_α has been constructed. We have then considered a hypermultiplet coupled to the gauge multiplet. The gauge covariantized projective constraints on the (ant)arctic multiplets and the algebras of the gauge covariantized supercovariant derivatives enable us to define the adjoint superfields $\tilde{\Phi}_0, \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0$ in the gauge multiplet. We have written down the expressions of $\tilde{\Phi}_0, \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0$ in terms of the component fields in the tropical multiplet. The gauge covariantized chiral conditions of $\tilde{\Phi}_0, \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0$ have been shown. The chirality of $\bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0$ is shown to hold for the full order in V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 while that of $\tilde{\Phi}_0$ should be proved perturbatively. We have demonstrated that the chirality of $\tilde{\Phi}_0$ holds up to $\mathcal{O}(V^3)$ in the non-Abelian gauge group. However, the higher order calculations are possible by using the explicit decompositions presented in Appendix B.

Compare to the Abelian gauge group, the calculation is quite non-linear and needs precise treatment. As we have shown in the Appendix B, the explicit form of the decomposition $e^\nu = e^{\hat{V}_-} e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+}$ is obtained iteratively. The gauge connections depend only on the ζ, ζ^2 (ζ) components of $\hat{V}_-$ in $\mathcal{N} = 3$ ($\mathcal{N} = 4$) in three dimensions. Similarly, the adjoint superfields $\tilde{\Phi}_0, \bar{\tilde{\Phi}}_0$ are completely determined by $\hat{V}_{-1}, \hat{V}_{-2}$ ($\hat{V}_{-1}$) in $\mathcal{N} = 3$ ($\mathcal{N} = 4$). We have also calculated the gauge connections in the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ projective superspace. Chiralities of the adjoint superfields in the gauge multiplet have been shown also in four dimensions.

We believe our study provides useful insights into the future researches of gauge theories in the projective superspace formalism. For example, non-Abelian superconformal Chern-Simons theories in three-dimensions are an interesting topic. Generalizations to higher dimensional theories such as five and six dimensions cases [6, 12] are also interesting. We will come back to these issues in near future.

Acknowledgments

The work of M. A is supported in part by the Research Program MSM6840770029, by the project of International Cooperation ATLAS-CERN of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, and by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS)

and Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic (ASCR) under the Japan - Czech Republic Research Cooperative Program.

A Conventions and notations of ordinary superspaces

A.1 Three dimensions

In this appendix, we present the basic conventions and notations of the standard $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $\mathcal{N} = 3$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superspaces in three dimensions. We use the mostly plus convention of the three dimensional metric $\eta_{mn} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1)$. The three-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace is represented by the coordinates $z^A = (x^m, \theta^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^\alpha)$ where $\theta, \bar{\theta}$ are two component spinors. The spinor indices are raised and lowered by the anti-symmetric symbol $\varepsilon^{12} = -\varepsilon_{12} = 1$. The gamma matrices are defined by $(\gamma^m)_\alpha{}^\beta = (i\tau^2, \tau^1, \tau^3)$ which satisfies the Clifford algebra $\{\gamma^m, \gamma^n\} = 2\eta^{mn}$. Here τ^I ($I = 1, 2, 3$) are the Pauli matrices. The supercovariant derivatives in $\mathcal{N} = 3$ superspace are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha^{ij} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{ij}^\alpha} + i\theta_{ij}^\beta \partial_{\alpha\beta}, & \partial_{\alpha\beta} &\equiv \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^m \partial_m, \\ \{D_\alpha^{ij}, D_\beta^{kl}\} &= -2i\varepsilon^{i(k}\varepsilon^{l)j} \partial_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The supercovariant derivatives in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superspace are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha^{i\bar{j}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i\bar{j}}^\alpha} + i\theta_{i\bar{j}}^\beta \partial_{\alpha\beta}, \\ \{D_\alpha^{i\bar{j}}, D_\beta^{k\bar{l}}\} &= 2i\varepsilon^{ik}\varepsilon^{\bar{j}\bar{l}} \partial_{\alpha\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The supercovariant derivatives in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_\alpha &= \partial_\alpha + i(\gamma^m \bar{\theta})_\alpha \partial_m, & \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha &= -\bar{\partial}_\alpha - i(\theta \gamma^m)_\alpha \partial_m, \\ \{\mathbb{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\beta\} &= -2i\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^m \partial_m, & \{\mathbb{D}_\alpha, \mathbb{D}_\beta\} &= \{\bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha, \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\beta\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The Grassmann measure of integration in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace is defined by

$$d^2\theta = -\frac{1}{4}d\theta^\alpha d\theta_\alpha, \quad d^2\bar{\theta} = -\frac{1}{4}d\bar{\theta}^\alpha d\bar{\theta}_\alpha, \quad d^4\theta = d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

They are normalized such that,

$$\int d^2\theta \theta^2 = 1, \quad \int d^2\bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}^2 = 1, \quad \int d^4\theta \theta^2 \bar{\theta}^2 = 1. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Within the space-time integration, the following relation holds,

$$\int d^4\theta F(z) = \frac{1}{16}(\mathbb{D}^2 \bar{\mathbb{D}}^2 F(z)) \Big|_{\theta=\bar{\theta}=0}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where $F(z)$ is an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfield. The chiral and anti-chiral coordinates are defined by

$$x_L^m = x^m + i\theta \gamma^m \bar{\theta}, \quad x_R^m = x^m - i\theta \gamma^m \bar{\theta}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

We use the following relations among the $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $\mathcal{N} = 3$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superspaces [5]:

$$\theta^\alpha = \theta_{11}^\alpha = \theta_{1\bar{1}}^\alpha, \quad \bar{\theta}^\alpha = \theta_{22}^\alpha = \theta_{2\bar{2}}^\alpha, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\mathbb{D}_\alpha = D_\alpha^{11} = D_\alpha^{1\bar{1}}, \quad \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha = -D_\alpha^{22} = -D_\alpha^{2\bar{2}}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

A.2 Four dimensions

In four dimensions, we use the metric $\eta_{mn} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. We follow the Wess-Bagger convention [13] in the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superspace. The supercovariant derivative is defined by

$$D_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} + i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \partial_m, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} - i\theta^\alpha \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \partial_m. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

They satisfy the following algebra,

$$\{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = -2i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \partial_m, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\{D_\alpha, D_\beta\} = \{\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

B Decomposition of tropical multiplet

In this appendix, we calculate the decomposition of the tropical multiplet,

$$e^{\mathcal{V}^{[0]}} = e^{\hat{V}_-} e^{\hat{V}_0} e^{\hat{V}_+}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

In the Lindström-Roček gauge, we have the following expansion in ζ ,

$$\mathcal{V}^{[0]} = \mathcal{V}_- + \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_+, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$\mathcal{V}_+ \equiv \zeta V_1, \quad \mathcal{V}_0 \equiv V_0, \quad \mathcal{V}_- \equiv \frac{1}{\zeta} V_{-1}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

The calculation is performed in the perturbation of the components in the tropical multiplet V_{-1}, V_0, V_{-1} . At leading (Abelian) order, we have $\hat{V}_- = \mathcal{V}_-$, $\hat{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}_0$, $\hat{V}_+ = \mathcal{V}_+$. In the following we will determine $\hat{V}_\pm, \hat{V}_0$ at the quadratic and cubic orders in V_{-1}, V_0, V_1 .

• $\mathcal{O}(V^2)$ calculation

We determine the functions $f_0^{(2)}, f_\pm^{(2)}$ that satisfy the following relation up to terms in $\mathcal{O}(V^3)$,

$$e^{\mathcal{V}^{[0]}} = e^{\mathcal{V}_- + f_-^{(2)}} e^{\mathcal{V}_0 + f_0^{(2)}} e^{\mathcal{V}_+ + f_+^{(2)}}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

The both sides in the above are calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\mathcal{V}^{[0]}} &= 1 + \mathcal{V}_- + \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_+ \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{V}_+^2 + \mathcal{V}_0^2 + \mathcal{V}_-^2 + \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_+ + \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_- + \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_+ + \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_-) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}^3), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{\mathcal{V}_- + f_-^{(2)}} e^{\mathcal{V}_0 + f_0^{(2)}} e^{\mathcal{V}_+ + f_+^{(2)}} \\ &= 1 + \mathcal{V}_- + \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_+ \\ &\quad + \left(\mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_+ + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_+^2 + f_+^{(2)} \right) + \left(\mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_+ + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_0^2 + f_0^{(2)} \right) + \left(\mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_0 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_-^2 + f_-^{(2)} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Note that all the terms are noncommutative and the ordering of the product is important. Comparing these results, we find the following expressions of the functions,

$$f_+^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\zeta[V_1, V_0], \quad f_0^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}[V_1, V_{-1}], \quad f_-^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\zeta^{-1}[V_0, V_{-1}]. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

• $\mathcal{O}(V^3)$ calculation

Next, we determine the functions $f_\pm^{(3)}, f_0^{(3)}$ that satisfy the following relation up to $\mathcal{O}(V^4)$,

$$e^{\mathcal{V}^{[0]}} = e^{\mathcal{V}_- + f_-^{(2)} + f_-^{(3)}} e^{\mathcal{V}_0 + f_0^{(2)} + f_0^{(3)}} e^{\mathcal{V}_+ + f_+^{(2)} + f_+^{(3)}}, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

where $f_\pm^{(2)}, f_0^{(2)}$ are functions (B.7) at $\mathcal{O}(V^2)$. Therefore at the order $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}^2)$ the above relation holds automatically. At $\mathcal{O}(V^3)$, we find the left hand side in (B.8) is

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\mathcal{V}^{[0]}}|_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}^3)} \\ &= \frac{1}{3!} (\mathcal{V}_+^3 + \mathcal{V}_0^2 \mathcal{V}_+ + \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_+ + \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_+^2 + \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_+^2 + \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_+ + \mathcal{V}_+^2 \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_0^2 + \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_+^2 \mathcal{V}_-) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3!} (\mathcal{V}_0^3 + \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_+ + \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_+ + \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_- + \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_-) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3!} (\mathcal{V}_-^3 + \mathcal{V}_-^2 \mathcal{V}_+ + \mathcal{V}_-^2 \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_0^2 + \mathcal{V}_0^2 \mathcal{V}_- + \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_-^2 + \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_- + \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_- + \mathcal{V}_+ \mathcal{V}_-^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

On the other hand, the right hand side in (B.8) is

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\mathcal{V}_- + f_-^{(2)} + f_-^{(3)}} e^{\mathcal{V}_0 + f_0^{(2)} + f_0^{(3)}} e^{\mathcal{V}_+ + f_+^{(2)} + f_+^{(3)}}|_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{V}^3)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{3!} \mathcal{V}_+^3 + f_+^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_+ f_+^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} f_+^{(2)} \mathcal{V}_+ + \mathcal{V}_0 f_+^{(2)} + f_0^{(2)} \mathcal{V}_+ + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_+ + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_+^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_+^2 \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{3!} \mathcal{V}_0^3 + f_0^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_0 f_0^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} f_0^{(2)} \mathcal{V}_0 + f_-^{(2)} \mathcal{V}_+ + \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_+ + \mathcal{V}_- f_+^{(2)} \right), \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{3!} \mathcal{V}_-^3 + f_-^{(3)} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_- f_-^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} f_-^{(2)} \mathcal{V}_- + f_-^{(2)} \mathcal{V}_0 + \mathcal{V}_- f_0^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_- \mathcal{V}_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_-^2 \mathcal{V}_+ + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_-^2 \mathcal{V}_0 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

From these results, the functions $f_0^{(3)}, f_\pm^{(3)}$ are determined to be

$$\begin{aligned} f_+^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{12} \zeta^2 \left[V_1[V_0, V_1] + [V_1, V_0]V_1 \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} \zeta \left[V_1[V_1, V_{-1}] + [V_{-1}, V_1]V_1 + V_0[V_0, V_1] + [V_1, V_0]V_1 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

$$f_0^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{12} \left[[V_0, V_{-1}]V_1 + V_{-1}[V_1, V_0] + [V_0, V_1]V_{-1} + V_1[V_{-1}, V_0] \right], \quad (\text{B.12})$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_-^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{12} \zeta^{-2} \left[V_{-1}[V_0, V_{-1}] + [V_{-1}, V_0]V_{-1} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} \zeta^{-1} \left[V_{-1}[V_{-1}, V_1] + [V_1, V_{-1}]V_{-1} + V_0[V_0, V_{-1}] + [V_{-1}, V_0]V_0 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Generalizations to higher orders $\mathcal{O}(V^n)$ ($n \geq 4$) are straightforward. By using the functions $f_{\pm}^{(n-1)}, f_0^{(n-1)}$ obtained at order $\mathcal{O}(V^{n-1})$, the equations that determine $f_{\pm}^{(n)}, f_0^{(n)}$ become linear. We can easily solve the equations and find the functions at order $\mathcal{O}(V^n)$ without any ambiguities.

C Anti-commutation relations of gauge covariant derivatives

The $\mathcal{N} = 3$ gauge covariantized supercovariant derivatives satisfy the following anti-commutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_\beta\} &= \mathbb{D}_{(\alpha} \Gamma_{-2\beta)}^{(-)} + \{\Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)}, \Gamma_{-2\beta}^{(-)}\}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_\beta^{12}\} &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{D}_\alpha \Gamma_{-1\beta}^{(-)} + D_\beta^{12} \Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)} - \frac{1}{2} \{\Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)}, \Gamma_{-1\beta}^{(-)}\}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\beta\} &= \{\mathbb{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\beta\} - \mathbb{D}_\alpha \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)} + \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\beta \Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)} - \{\Gamma_{-2\alpha}^{(-)}, \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)}\}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{12}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^{12}\} &= \{D_\alpha^{12}, D_\beta^{12}\} - \frac{1}{2} D_{(\alpha}^{12} \Gamma_{-1\beta)}^{(-)} + \frac{1}{4} \{\Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)}, \Gamma_{-1\beta}^{(-)}\}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{12}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\beta\} &= -D_\alpha^{12} \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\beta \Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)} + \frac{1}{2} \{\Gamma_{-1\alpha}^{(-)}, \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)}\}, \\
\{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\beta\} &= -\bar{\mathbb{D}}_{(\alpha} \Gamma_{0\beta)}^{(-)} + \{\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)}, \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

The anti-commutation relations of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge covariantized supercovariant derivatives in the left part are

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{1}}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^{1\bar{1}}\} &= 0, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{1}}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^{1\bar{2}}\} &= 0, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{1}}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^{2\bar{1}}\} &= \mathbb{D}_\alpha \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)\bar{1}}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{1}}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^{2\bar{2}}\} &= \{D_\alpha^{1\bar{1}}, D_\beta^{2\bar{2}}\} + \mathbb{D}_\alpha \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)\bar{2}}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{2}}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^{1\bar{2}}\} &= 0, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{2}}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^{2\bar{1}}\} &= \{D_\alpha^{1\bar{2}}, D_\beta^{2\bar{1}}\} + D_\alpha^{1\bar{2}} \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)\bar{1}}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{1\bar{2}}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^{2\bar{2}}\} &= D_\alpha^{1\bar{2}} \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)\bar{2}}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{2\bar{1}}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^{2\bar{1}}\} &= D_\alpha^{2\bar{1}} \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)\bar{1}} + D_\beta^{2\bar{1}} \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{1}} + \{\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{1}}, \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)\bar{1}}\}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{2\bar{1}}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^{2\bar{2}}\} &= D_\alpha^{2\bar{1}} \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)\bar{2}} - \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\beta \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{1}} + \{\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{1}}, \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)\bar{2}}\}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{2\bar{2}}, \mathcal{D}_\beta^{2\bar{2}}\} &= -\bar{\mathbb{D}}_\alpha \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)\bar{2}} - \bar{\mathbb{D}}_\beta \Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{2}} + \{\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)\bar{2}}, \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)\bar{2}}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

Similar relations hold in the right sector.

In four dimensions, the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge covariantized supercovariant derivatives satisfy the

following algebras,

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathcal{D}_{1\alpha}, \mathcal{D}_{1\beta}\} &= D_{1\alpha}\Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)} + D_{1\beta}\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)} + \{\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)}, \Gamma_{0\beta}^{(-)}\}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_{1\alpha}, \mathcal{D}_{2\beta}\} &= D_{2\beta}\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_{1\alpha}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1\} &= \{D_{1\alpha}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1\} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}^1\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_{1\alpha}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2\} &= D_{1\alpha}\bar{\Gamma}_{0\dot{\alpha}}^{(-)} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}^2\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)} + \{\Gamma_{0\alpha}^{(-)}, \bar{\Gamma}_{0\dot{\alpha}}^{(-)}\}, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_{1\alpha}, \mathcal{D}_{2\beta}\} &= 0, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_{1\alpha}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1\} &= 0, \\
\{\mathcal{D}_{1\alpha}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2\} &= \{D_{2\alpha}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2\} + D_{2\alpha}\bar{\Gamma}_{0\dot{\alpha}}^{(-)}, \\
\{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}^1\} &= 0, \\
\{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}^2\} &= \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^1\bar{\Gamma}_{0\dot{\beta}}^{(-)}, \\
\{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}^2\} &= \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^2\bar{\Gamma}_{0\dot{\beta}}^{(-)} + \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}^2\Gamma_{0\dot{\alpha}}^{(-)} + \{\bar{\Gamma}_{0\dot{\alpha}}^{(-)}, \bar{\Gamma}_{0\dot{\beta}}^{(-)}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.3}$$

References

- [1] A. Karlhede, U. Lindström and M. Roček, Phys. Lett. **B 147** (1984) 297.
- [2] S. J. Gates Jr., C. M. Hull and M. Roček, Nucl. Phys. **B 248** (1984) 157.
- [3] U. Lindström, M. Roček, Commun. Math. Phys. **115** (1988) 21.
- [4] S. J. Gates, Jr., C. M. Hull and M. Roček, Nucl. Phys. **B248** (1984) 157,
T. Buscher, U. Lindström and M. Roček, Phys. Lett. **B202** (1988) 94,
M. Roček, K. Schoutens and A. Sevrin, Phys. Lett. **B265** (1991) 303,
U. Lindström, I. T. Ivanov and M. Roček, Phys. Lett. **B328** (1994) 49,
[arXiv:hep-th/9401091].
- [5] S. M. Kuzenko, J. H. Park, G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli and R. Unge, JHEP **1101** (2011) 146 [arXiv:1011.5727 [hep-th]].
- [6] S. M. Kuzenko and W. D. Linch, III, JHEP **0602** (2006) 038 [hep-th/0507176].
- [7] J. Grundberg and U. Lindström, Class. Quant. Grav. **2** (1985) L33,
S. J. Gates, Jr., S. Penati and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, JHEP **0605** (2006) 051
[hep-th/0508187],
S. J. Gates, Jr., S. Penati and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, JHEP **0609** (2006) 006
[hep-th/0604042].
- [8] U. Lindström, M. Roček, Commun. Math. Phys. **128** (1990) 191.
- [9] F. Gonzalez-Rey, hep-th/9712128.
- [10] M. Arai and S. Sasaki, JHEP **1205** (2012) 064 [arXiv:1112.6311 [hep-th]].

- [11] S. M. Kuzenko, U. Lindström and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, JHEP **1103** (2011) 120 [arXiv:1101.4013 [hep-th]].
- [12] S. M. Kuzenko, Phys. Lett. B **644** (2007) 88 [hep-th/0609078].
- [13] J. Bagger and J. Baggaer, “Supersymmetry and Supergravity”, Princeton University Press (1992).